

## WinMon.BE

## Key results of the seabird and bat monitoring in Belgian offshore wind farms

## Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences

Robin Brabant



## Context





Figure 1. Map of the North Sea showing that southwards migrating seabirds become concentrated within the cuneiform southern North Sea.

#### TRAPPED WITHIN THE CORRIDOR OF THE SOUTHERN NORTH SEA: THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF OFFSHORE WIND FARMS ON SEABIRDS

ERIC W.M. STIENEN VAN WAEYENBERGE ECKHART KUIJKEN Institute of Nature Conservation, Kliniekstraat 25, B-1070 Brussels, Belgium. JAN SEYS

Figure 3: Installed, planned and foreseen wind farm areas in the North Sea (red: 2023, blue: 2030, green: 2030+, as of 09/2018)



## Context



3



# **OWF** effects

## Anticipated effects:

- changes in seabird abundance and/or distribution (=displacement)
  - avoidance attraction
- Collision: increased mortality
- Barrier to migration

## Monitoring / Research

- Seabird surveys
- Collision risk modelling
- Radar research

Monitoring started in 2010: Here only a few key results!







- Monthly ship-based counts since 2010
- In impact and control areas
- INBO (Research Institute Nature and Forest)





## Common Guillemot <u>avoidance</u> of the Bligh Bank

offshore wind farm





#### Great black-backed gull <u>attraction</u> at the Thornton

#### Bank offshore wind farm









Review of seabird displacement research at 16 European OWFs:

consistent responses for several seabird species

- Attraction: Great Cormorant & Great Black-backed Gull
- Avoidance: Northern Gannet, Common Guillemot, Razorbill & Redthroated Diver

yet inconsistent results for e.g. Herring Gull, Lesser Black-backed Gull, Blacklegged Kittiwake, ...

Avoidance of Red-throated diver up to 16km from OWF (Mendel et al., 2019)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.10.053)





Loon distribution in the eastern German Bight before and after construction of offshore windfarms



# Seabird collision risk

<u>Collision risk modelling (CRM)</u>: estimate collision risk based on bird related variables and turbine / OWF variables (Band, 2012)

Large variability	<ul><li>Compare different scenarios:</li><li>siting</li><li>turbine dimensions and number</li></ul>
Large uncertainty	Identify species at risk

 $\rightarrow$  290.3  $\pm$  205.4 collision / year for six most abundant seabird species inside Belgian OWFs

→ lesser and greater black-backed gull

→ Rough extrapolation for North Sea scenario hints towards population effects

Hydrobiologia DOI 10.1007/s10750-015-2224-2

OFFSHORE WIND FARM IMPACTS

Towards a cumulative collision risk assessment of local and migrating birds in North Sea offshore wind farms

Robin Brabant · Nicolas Vanermen · Eric W. M. Stienen · Steven Degraer



# Detecting / predicting peaks in bird migration



0

n

01Oct

01Nov

01Dec

- $\rightarrow$  if in rotor swept zone: collision risk
- Input for prediction models of peaks at rotor height
- Curtailment measures (cfr. Borssele area)



# Bat research in Belgian OWFs

Bats are detected in North sea OWFs during spring and autumn <u>migration</u>

## Research questions:

- 1. Influence weather conditions during migration?
- 2. Activity at nacelle height?

## Study autumn 2017

- 11 Batcorders on 7 different turbines
  - 7 at 16m
  - o 4 at 93m = nacelle
- 151 recordings of call sequences
- 23 nights
- All Pipistrellus nathusii







wind direction

## Activity of bats at sea

0.04 cut-in wind speed: average wind speed at night during 3 to 4 m/s0.03 study period: 7.6  $\pm$  4.5 m/s **DP10** (normalised) Average wind speed when bats are 66 % of recordings when recorded:  $3.1 \pm 1.9$  m/s 0.02 wind speed  $\leq 3 \text{ m/s}$ 87 % when ws  $\leq$  5 m/s 0.01 0.00 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 6 8 wind speed (m/s) 0.04 DP10 (normalised) Preference for E and SE wind • 2. Tailwind conditions to cross the North 0.02 Sea or wind drift? 0.00 S Ν NE E SE SW W NW 13

28



## Activity at nacelle height

Registered activity at nacelle height is 10% of activity at 16m:

- 20.3 recordings on average by 'low' bat detectors
- 2.3 recordings on average by detectors at nacelle height

Remarks:

- detection range ca. 25 m for Pipistrellus sp.
  → need for recordings in the entire rotor swept zone
- N recordings  $\neq$  collision risk







## More information



<u>robin.brabant@naturalsciences.be</u> <u>https://odnature.naturalsciences.be/mumm/en/windfarms/</u>